Incomplete Bladder Duplication in an Asymptomatic Pregnant Female
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ABSTRACT
Bladder duplication is a very rare congenital malformation of the urinary system, which is classified as complete or incomplete. This malformation is usually associated with other anomalies, which may be urogenital or nonurogenital. We present a case of incomplete sagittal bladder duplication in an asymptomatic adult female who became pregnant and delivered a healthy baby.
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INTRODUCTION
Incomplete bladder duplication (BD) is an extremely rare congenital abnormality of the urinary system and is usually associated with other genitourinary anomalies (1, 2). We present a case of incomplete sagittal BD in an asymptomatic adult female who became pregnant and delivered a healthy baby.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 31-year-old female who wanted to have a baby was admitted to the gynecology clinic. She was referred to the urology department due to a suspected bladder abnormality during routine gynecological examination. The patient had no lower urinary symptom. On physical examination, a single urethral meatus was detected. Urinary ultrasound showed two hypoechogenic structures, which communicated with each other in the pelvis. Cystoscopy was performed, which showed a single urethra. However, there was a sagittal ledge of tissue connecting the anterior and posterior walls of the bladder approximately 2 cm from the bladder neck, dividing the bladder cavity into right and left parts. The ureteral orifices were in their normal positions on either side. Voiding cysto-urethrography confirmed the presence of these two communicating structures (right and left parts), which lied adjacent to each other in the sagittal plane. Cystogram showed no vesico-ureteral reflux, but congenital diastasis of the pubic symphysis was detected in voiding cystourethrography (Figure 1). To further delineate the nature of the bladder, magnetic resonance imagine (MRI) of the abdomen and pelvis was performed, and it was observed that the bladder was separated into two parts by a sagittal muscular septum (Figure 2a, b). Written informed consent was obtained from patient who participated in this case. The patient has been followed up without any symptom for 4 years, during which she has delivered a healthy baby after getting pregnant with assisted reproductive technology.

DISCUSSION
Bladder duplication is a rare congenital malformation of the urinary system, which was classified as complete or incomplete by Abrahamson in 1961 (3). Complete duplication is defined as the presence of two bladders and two separate urethras, whereas incomplete duplication is defined as the presence of two bladders and one common urethra. Based on the axis of the septum, BD is also classified as sagittal or coronal. Two bladders lie side by side and are separated by a fibromuscular wall in sagittal duplication, in which each bladder receives the ureter of the ipsilateral kidney. Conversely, two bladders lie one behind the other and are separated by a fibromuscular wall that runs obliquely in a posterosuperior to anteroinferior plane in coronal duplication, in which there are two separated urethras (3, 4). Although the exact etiology of BD is unknown, a few hypotheses have been proposed by Abrahamson (3, 4).

Lower urinary system abnormalities are usually diagnosed either at birth or during childhood when US examination, for any reason, is performed into the urologically asymptomatic patient or when causes of urinary tract infections are evaluated. However, there are two cases with BD that remained asymptomatic for more than 5 decades in the English literature (5, 6). Our patient was relatively older at the time of diagnosis since she had no symptoms related to BD.

Bladder duplication is usually associated with other anomalies, which may be urogenital or nonurogenital. Complete or partial duplication of the urethra, diphallus, bifid scrotum, duplication of the glans, congenital urethral valves, unilateral renal dysplasia, unilateral gonadal agenesis, duplication of the vagina, and various fistula formations between the urethra, vagina, and rectum are the most detected urogenital malformations associated with BD (1, 2, 4-7). Associated nonurogenital congenital anomalies in-
clude duplication of the lower gastrointestinal tract, spina bifida, duplication of the spine, meningocele, meningomyelocele, diastasis of the pubic symphysis, anorectal atresia, and imperforate anus (2, 4-6). Our patient had congenital diastasis of the pubic symphysis, which was asymptomatic, as well.

The treatment should be individualized (8). Incomplete duplications may not require surgical interventions if the patient remains asymptomatic (2, 6). There are two main goals of treatment in an asymptomatic patient with incomplete BD: renal preservation and prevention of urinary infections (2, 9). Because our patient remained asymptomatic until 31 years of age, surgical intervention was not planned. She has successfully conceived with assisted reproductive technology and uneventfully delivered at the term. To our knowledge, this is the first case of a patient with BD who conceived and delivered without any abnormality in the English literature.

CONCLUSION

Incomplete BD may be without any resultant vesico-ureteral reflux or voiding dysfunction. In addition, conception is not compromised when the genital tract and hormonal status are normal. Female patients with BD may become pregnant and may eventually have a healthy baby.
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